Instructions  The goal of this homework is for you to execute what you have learned in the class and implement the naïve bayes and perceptron algorithm. This homework will count 18% towards your final grade. Depending on the efficiency of your implementation the experiments required to complete the assignment may take some time to run, so it is a good idea to start early. You can find starter code and data for this homework in Piazza’s resources. If you have any questions, the best way is to ask on Piazza.

In this assignment you will implement the structured perceptron and Viterbi algorithms for part-of-speech tagging. Then you will experiment with your trained models on a small dataset of tweets annotated with parts-of-speech and named entities. This dataset is used as part of the International Workshop on Noisy Unser Generated Text shared task co-organized by several OSU faculty members and students including the instructor in 2016 for benchmarking state-of-the-art tagging systems (if you are interested, you may read more details about the dataset and various systems here: http://noisy-text.github.io/2016/pdf/ WNUT19.pdf). These experiments will explore the question of how well part of speech taggers perform when applied to domains other than for which they were trained. For example, how well does a Wall Street Journal (primary text source in Penn Treebank) trained part-of-speech tagger perform when applied on Twitter? We provide you with starter Python code to help read in the data and evaluate the results of your model’s predictions. This homework is an individual homework. Please finish by yourself and turn in the following in Carmen:

• Your code
• A brief writeup that includes the numbers / evaluation requested below.

We have provided an evaluation script to train your models and generate predictions on the test data as follows:

> #Trains a part-of-speech tagger on the provided Twitter training set
> bash eval.sh twitter
> #Trains a part-of-speech tagger on the provided Penn Treebank data
> bash eval.sh ptb
> #Trains a part-of-speech tagger on the provided NPS Chat data
> bash eval.sh nps

Your model’s predictions on the test data will be output into the directory, eval/. You can check the accuracy of your model on the test data by using the provided scripts accuracy.py for POS-tagging and the Perl script conlleval.pl for named entity recognition.

When you first run the starter code, the tagger will always predict every word is a noun. You will need to implement the Viterbi algorithm for decoding in addition to parameter updates analogous to the perceptron algorithm in Homework #1. The starter code also has provided a good set of features, including dictionary-based features (tagDict) and Brown cluster-based (60K_clusters.bits.txt) features.
1 Word’s Most Frequent Tag Baseline (2 points)

Before getting started with implementing the perceptron tagger, write a simple program to implement the following baseline. First count the number of times each word occurs with each tag in the training dataset (data/twitter_train_universal.txt). We use a simple set of 12 universal POS tags in this homework instead of the more complicated full set of POS tags (which you can see in data/twitter_train.txt). Now generate an output file (using the same format as the training data) that predicts the tag of each word using the following heuristic: simply tag each word in the test dataset (data/twitter_test_universal.txt) with the tag that appears most frequently in the training dataset (breaking ties arbitrarily). Tag all the unseen words in the test set as nouns. Report your accuracy on the test data using the provided script like so:

> python accuracy.py mft_baseline.out data/twitter_test_universal.txt

2 Viterbi Algorithm (6 points)

Implement the Viterbi Algorithm for a bigram perceptron tagger. The provided code in Data.py will read in the provided training data. You should make use of log-scores (unnormalized log probabilities) - each multiplication in Viterbi should be replaced with addition, and unnormalized probabilities are simply dot products of feature vectors and weights. Note: you will need to complete the next part of the assignment before you can test if your implementation is properly working.

The methods you will need to implement is ViterbiTagger.Viterbi. Before you do this, the classifier always predicts ‘Noun’.

3 Structured Perception (4 points)

Next, implement the structured perceptron algorithm. For this you will need to modify Tagger.Train. Include parameter averaging as in Homework #1. Report your performance (accuracy) training and testing on the Twitter data. You may find the Chapter 17 “Structured Prediction” of Hal Durme III’s ICML notes (http://ciml.info/dl/v0_99/ciml-v0_99-ch17.pdf) very helpful.

4 Cross-Domain Experiments (2 points)

Next, try training your POS tagger in each of the following scenarios and report accuracy:

- Train on the provided Penn Treebank\(^1\) data (ptb) and test on Twitter.
- Train on the provided NPS Chat\(^2\) data (nps) and test on Twitter.
- Train on all the data (nps + ptb + twitter) and test on Twitter.

What can you say about the performance of part-of-speech taggers when they are applied on text outside their training domain?

5 Named Entity Recognition (4 points)

Train your tagger on the provided named entity recognition dataset twitter_ner_train.txt and report precision, recall and \(F_1\) on twitter_ner_test.txt using the provided script conlleval.pl. Next, add additional features to the tagger specifically for the named entity recognition task, and report performance. Commonly used features for named entity recognition include lists of first and last names\(^3\). Lists of companies, products, etc… can be scraped from various places on the web, such as Wikipedia.\(^4\).

---

\(^1\)https://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/ldc99t42
\(^2\)http://faculty.nps.edu/ cmartell/NPSChat.htm
\(^3\)http://www.census.gov/topics/population/genealogy/data/1990_census/1990_census_namefiles.html
\(^4\)https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_companies_of_the_United_States