### CNNs & Neural CRFs

(many slides from Greg Durrett, Stanford 231n)

### Wei Xu

### Administrivia

#### Reading — Goldberg 9 (CNN); Eisenstein 3.4, 7.6

#### A Primer on Neural Network Models for Natural Language Processing

Yoav Goldberg Draft as of October 5, 2015.

> The most up-to-date version of this manuscript is available at http://www.cs.biu. ac.il/~yogo/nnlp.pdf. Major updates will be published on arxiv periodically. I welcome any comments you may have regarding the content and presentation. If you spot a missing reference or have relevant work you'd like to see mentioned, do let me know. first.last@gmail

#### Abstract

Over the past few years, neural networks have re-emerged as powerful machine-learning models, yielding state-of-the-art results in fields such as image recognition and speech processing. More recently, neural network models started to be applied also to textual natural language signals, again with very promising results. This tutorial surveys neural network models from the perspective of natural language processing research, in an attempt to bring natural-language researchers up to speed with the neural techniques. The tutorial covers input encoding for natural language tasks, feed-forward networks, convolutional networks, recurrent networks and recursive networks, as well as the computation graph abstraction for automatic gradient computation.

#### Neural CRFs

#### CNNs

#### CNNs for Sentiment, Entity Linking

### This Lecture

Neural CRF

### I-PER **B-PER**

#### PERSON





- Transducer (LM-like model)
- the linear CRFs?

Q1: What are the strengths and weaknesses of this model compared to

# 0 LOC ORG **B-LOC**

### LSTMs for NER O O B-LOC O O B-ORG O I-PER **B-PER Barack Obama** will travel to **Hangzhou** today for the **G20** meeting. PERSON B-PER I-PER O O Barack Obama will travel to Hangzhou



- Bidirectional transducer model
- the linear CRFs?

Q2: What are the strengths and weaknesses of this model compared to

## **NER Revisited**

B-PER I-PER O O O B-ORG O 0 **Barack Obama** will travel to Hangzhou today for the G20 meeting. PERSON ORG 

- Features in CRFs: I[tag=B-LOC & curr word=Hangzhou], I[tag=B-LOC & prev\_word=to], I[tag=B-LOC & curr\_prefix=Han]
- Linear model over features
- Downsides:

  - work well to look at more than 2 words with a single feature)

Lexical features mean that words need to be seen in the training data

Linear model can't capture feature conjunctions as effectively (doesn't



## Recall — What do RNNs produce?



RNN can be viewed as a transformation of a sequence of vectors into a sequence of context-dependent vectors



## Recall — Sequential CRFs

- Inference: argmax P(y|x) from Viterbi



## Recall — Sequential CRFs

- Model:  $P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod^{n} \exp(\phi_t)$ -i=2
- Inference: argmax P(y|x) from Viterbi
- Learning: run forward-backward to compute marginals  $P(y_i = s | \mathbf{x}) = \sum P(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x})$  $y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n$

 $P(y_i = s_1, y_{i+1} = s_2 | \mathbf{x})$ , then update gradient

$$f_t(y_{i-1}, y_i)) \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(\phi_e(y_i, i, \mathbf{x}))$$

## Neural CRFs

#### O O B-LOC O O B-ORG O 0 I-PER **B-PER Barack Obama** will travel to **Hangzhou** today for the **G20** meeting.

#### PERSON



Neural CRFs: bidirectional LSTMs (or some NN) compute emission potentials, capture structural constraints in transition potentials

- LOC ORG

## Neural CRFs

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \exp(\phi_t(y_{i-1}, y_i)) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\phi_t(y_{i-1}, y_i)) \prod_{i=1}^$$

- Linear model:  $\phi_e(y_i, i, \mathbf{x}) = w^\top f_e(y_i, i, \mathbf{x})$
- Neural:  $\phi_e(y_i, i, \mathbf{x}) = W_{u_i}^\top f(i, \mathbf{x})$  W is a num\_tags x len(f) matrix f(i, x) could be the output of a feedforward neural network looking at the words around position *i*, or the *i*th output of an LSTM, ...
- Neural network computes unnormalized potentials that are consumed and "normalized" by a structured model
- Inference: compute f, use Viterbi





## **Computing Gradients**

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \exp(\phi_t(y_{i-1}, y_i)) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\phi_t(y_{i-1}, y_i)) \prod_{i=1}^$$

- Conventional:  $\phi_e(y_i, i, \mathbf{x}) = w^{\top} f_e(y_i, i, \mathbf{x})$
- Neural:  $\phi_e(y_i, i, \mathbf{x}) = W_{u_i}^{\top} f(i, \mathbf{x})$

 $W_i$ 



For neural model: compute gradient of phi w.r.t. parameters of neural net

# LSTM Neural CRFs

#### $\mathbf{O}$ ()**B-PER** I-PER

PERSON



O O O B-ORG **B-LOC** (**Barack Obama** will travel to **Hangzhou** today for the **G20** meeting. LOC ORG

- 2) Run forward-backward
  - 3) Compute error signal
- 1) Compute f(x)
  - 4) Backprop (no knowledge of sequential structure required)





#### 0 B-LOC O O B-ORG $\mathbf{O}$ $\mathbf{O}$ LOC ORG

### FFNN Neural CRF for NER **B-PER** I-PER **Barack Obama** will travel to **Hangzhou** today for the **G20** meeting. PERSON



### $\phi_e = Wg(Vf(\mathbf{x}, i))$ $f(\mathbf{x}, i) = [\operatorname{emb}(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}), \operatorname{emb}(\mathbf{x}_i), \operatorname{emb}(\mathbf{x}_{i+1})]$

Applications

## "NLP (Almost) From Scratch"

Approach	POS	POS   CHUNK		SRL
	(PWA)	(F1)	(F1)	(F1)
<b>Benchmark Systems</b>	97.24	94.29	89.31	77.92
NN+WLL	96.31	89.13	79.53	55.40
NN+SLL	96.37	90.33	81.47	70.99
NN+WLL+LM1	97.05	91.91	85.68	58.18
NN+SLL+LM1	97.10	93.65	87.58	73.84
NN+WLL+LM2	97.14	92.04	86.96	58.34
NN+SLL+LM2	97.20	93.63	88.67	74.15

WLL: independent classification; SLL: neural CRF

 LM2: word vectors learned from a precursor to word2vec/GloVe, trained for 2 weeks (!) on Wikipedia



Collobert, Weston, et al. 2008, 2011

## **CNN Neural CRFs**



travel to Hangzhou today for

- Append to each word vector an embedding of the relative position of that word
- Convolution over the sentence produces a position-dependent representation

## CNN NCRFs vs. FFNN NCRFs

Approach	POS	CHUNK	NER	SRL
	(PWA)	(F1)	(F1)	(F1)
<b>Benchmark Systems</b>	97.24	94.29	89.31	77.92
	Window Approach			
NN+SLL+LM2	97.20	93.63	88.67	

NN+SLL+LM2	9

 Sentence approach (CNNs) is comparable to window approach (FFNNs) except for SRL where they claim it works much better

 Sentence Approach

 97.12
 93.37
 88.78
 74.15

Collobert and Weston 2008, 2011

### Neural CRFs with LSTMs

#### Neural CRF using character LSTMs to compute word representations



Chiu and Nichols (2015), Lample et al. (2016)

## Neural CRFs with LSTMs

- Chiu+Nichols: character CNNs instead of LSTMs
- Lin/Passos/Luo: use external resources like Wikipedia
- LSTM-CRF captures the important aspects of NER: word context (LSTM), sub-word features (character LSTMs), outside knowledge (word embeddings)

Model	$  \mathbf{F_1}$
Collobert et al. (2011)*	89.59
Lin and Wu (2009)	83.78
Lin and Wu (2009)*	90.90
Huang et al. (2015)*	90.10
Passos et al. (2014)	90.05
Passos et al. (2014)*	90.90
Luo et al. (2015)* + gaz	89.9
Luo et al. $(2015)^* + gaz + linking$	91.2
Chiu and Nichols (2015)	90.69
Chiu and Nichols (2015)*	90.77
LSTM-CRF (no char)	90.20
LSTM-CRF	90.94

Chiu and Nichols (2015), Lample et al. (2016)





Jeniya Tabassum, Mounica Maddela, Alan Ritter, Wei Xu. "Code and Named Entity Recognition in StackOverflow" (ACL 2020)



### StackOverflow NER Corpus



Archive timeline: (2008 - 2018)





#### **Code Entity Types**

Jeniya Tabassum, Mounica Maddela, Alan Ritter, Wei Xu. "Code and Named Entity Recognition in StackOverflow" (ACL 2020)





#### **Natural Language Entity**



### **Two Main Challenges**

(1) **Polysemy** – e.g., "key", "windows". (2) Inline code — code-switch between human and programming languages.

share improve this answer follow

Jeniya Tabassum, Mounica Maddela, Alan Ritter, Wei Xu. "Code and Named Entity Recognition in StackOverflow" (ACL 2020)





### **SoftNER Model**

Combines BERTOverflow with domain-specific embeddings (Code Recognizer & Entity Segmenter) via attention.







Jeniya Tabassum, Mounica Maddela, Alan Ritter, Wei Xu. "Code and Named Entity Recognition in StackOverflow" (ACL 2020)



### **SoftNER Model**



Jeniya Tabassum, Mounica Maddela, Alan Ritter, Wei Xu. "Code and Named Entity Recognition in StackOverflow" (ACL 2020)

Combines BERTOverflow with domain-specific embeddings (Code Recognizer & Entity Segmenter) via attention.



#### Neural CRF for Sentence Alignment



Chao Jiang, Mounica Maddela, Wuwei Lan, Yang Zhong, Wei Xu. "Neural CRF Model for Sentence Alignment in Text Simplification" (ACL 2020)

Professional editors rewrite news articles into 4 different readability levels for grade 3-12 students.



#### Neural CRF for Sentence Alignment



alignment labels

Figure 1: An example of sentence alignment between an original news article (right) and its simplified version (left) in Newsela. The label  $a_i$  for each simple sentence  $s_i$  is the index of complex sentence  $c_{a_i}$  it aligns to.

Chao Jiang, Mounica Maddela, Wuwei Lan, Yang Zhong, Wei Xu. "Neural CRF Model for Sentence Alignment in Text Simplification" (ACL 2020)





Chao Jiang, Mounica Maddela, Wuwei Lan, Yang Zhong, Wei Xu. "Neural CRF Model for Sentence Alignment in Text Simplification" (ACL 2020)



• Structure prediction + BERT<sub>finetune</sub>  $\rightarrow$  A neural CRF alignment model.



Chao Jiang, Mounica Maddela, Wuwei Lan, Yang Zhong, Wei Xu. "Neural CRF Model for Sentence Alignment in Text Simplification" (ACL 2020)

	aligned + partial vs. others*		
	Precision	Recall	F1
15)	98.66	67.58	80.22
2017)	95.49	82.27	88.39
3)	88.56	91.31	89.92
	94.99	89.62	92.22
oh alignment	98.05	88.63	93.10
	97.86	91.31	95.59

\* Results are on the manually annotated Newsela dataset.



## A Bit of History



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FwFduRA\_L6Q

#### LeCun et al. (1998), earlier work in 1980s



## ImageNet - Object Recognition

### The Image Classification Challenge: 1,000 object classes 1,431,167 images **Output:** Scale T-shirt Steel drum Drumstick Mud turtle



**Output:** Scale T-shirt Giant panda Drumstick Mud turtle



Russakovsky et al. (2012)



## ImageNet - Object Recognition



→ 100% accuracy and reliability not realistic Traditional computer vision

Deep learning computer vision

## **Convolutional Neural Networks**

- AlexNet one of the first strong results
- more filters per layer as well as stacked convolutional layers
- use of ReLU for the non-linear part instead of Sigmoid or Tanh



fully connected layers

### Krizhevsky et al. (2012)



## Convolutional Layer

- Applies a *filter* over patches of the input and returns that filter's activations
- Convolution: take dot product of filter with a patch of the input
- image: n x n x k filter: m x m x k





Images: RGB values (3 dim)

#### sum over dot products



Each of these cells is a vector with multiple values



## Convolutional Layer

An animated example: k = 1, and a filter of size 3x3.



Image



Convolved Feature

## Convolutional Layer

- Applies a *filter* over patches of the input and returns that filter's activations
- Convolution: take dot product of filter with a patch of the input
- image: n x n x k

![](_page_36_Figure_4.jpeg)

filter:  $m \times m \times k$  activations:  $(n - m + 1) \times (n - m + 1) \times 1$ 

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **Convolutions for NLP**

- Input and filter are 2-dimensional instead of 3-dimensional
- sentence: n words x k vec dim

the movie was good

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

variable-length) representation

![](_page_37_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_8.jpeg)

Combines evidence locally in a sentence and produces a new (but still

## Compare: CNNs vs. LSTMs

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### the movie was good

- LSTM: "globally" looks at the entire sentence (but local for many problems)
- CNN: local depending on filter width + number of layers

![](_page_38_Figure_6.jpeg)

the movie was good

Both LSTMs and convolutional layers transform the input using context

![](_page_38_Picture_10.jpeg)

## CNNs for Sentiment

## **CNNs for Sentiment Analysis**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### the movie was good

- projection + softmax
- c-dimensional vector
- max pooling over the sentence
  - Max pooling: return the max activation of a given filter over the entire sentence; like a logical OR (sum pooling is like logical AND)

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

*"good" filter output* max = 1.1

#### Filter "looks like" the things that will cause it to have high activation

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

Max = 1.1

▶ 0.1

► 0.3

► 0.1

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Takes variable-length input and turns it into fixed-length output
- Filters are initialized randomly and then learned

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Word vectors for similar words a have similar outputs

#### max = 1.8

#### Word vectors for similar words are similar, so convolutional filters will

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Analogous to bigram features in bag-of-words models
- matches that bigram

Indicator feature of text containing bigram <-> max pooling of a filter that

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

## What can CNNs learn?

CNNs let us take advantage of word similarity

really not very good vs. really not very enjoyable

CNNs are translation-invariant like bag-of-words

CNNs can capture local interactions with filters of width > 1

- The movie was bad, but blah blah blah ... vs. ... blah blah blah, but the movie was bad.
- It was not good, it was actually quite bad vs. it was not bad, it was actually quite good

![](_page_46_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Deep Convolutional Networks

#### Low-level filters: extract low-level features from the data

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Zeiler and Fergus (2014)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Deep Convolutional Networks

#### High-level filters: match larger and more "semantic patterns"

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Zeiler and Fergus (2014)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **CNNs: Implementation**

- Typically use filters with m ranging from 1 to 5 or so (multiple filter) widths in a single convnet)

CLASS torch.nn.Conv1d(in\_channels, out\_channels, kernel\_size, stride=1, padding=0, dilation=1, groups=1, bias=True, padding\_mode='zeros')

Applies a 1D convolution over an input signal composed of several input planes.

In the simplest case, the output value of the layer with input size  $(N, C_{
m in}, L)$  and output  $(N, C_{
m out}, L_{
m out})$  can be precisely described as:

$$\operatorname{out}(N_i, C_{\operatorname{out}_j}) = \operatorname{bias}(C_{\operatorname{out}_j}) + \sum_{k=0}^{C_{in}-1} \operatorname{weight}(C_{\operatorname{out}_j}, k) \star \operatorname{input}(N_i, k)$$

where  $\star$  is the valid cross-correlation operator, N is a batch size, C denotes a number of channels, L is a length of signal sequence.

- stride controls the stride for the cross-correlation, a single number or a one-element tuple.
- padding controls the amount of implicit zero-paddings on both sides for padding number of points.

### Input is batch size x n x k matrix, filters are c x m x k matrix (c filters)

### All computation graph libraries support efficient convolution operations

[SOURCE]

## **CNNs for Sentence Classification**

- Question classification, sentiment, etc.
- Conv+pool, then use feedforward layers to classify
- Can use multiple types of input vectors (fixed initializer and learned)

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### the movie was good

![](_page_50_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **CNNs for Sentence Classification**

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Kim (2014)

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

Model	MR
CNN-multichannel	81.1
NBSVM (Wang and Manning, 2012)	79.4

Also effective at document-level text classification

## Sentence Classification

![](_page_52_Figure_5.jpeg)

Kim (2014)

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Entity Linking

- CNNs can produce good representations of both sentences and documents like typical bag-of-words features
- Can distill topic representations for use in entity linking

that they had disqualified Armstrong from his seven consecutive

![](_page_53_Figure_5.jpeg)

**Armstrong County** 

## Entity Linking

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Entity Linking

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

compared with cosine similarity to derive real-valued semantic similarity features.

Figure 1: Extraction of convolutional vector space features  $f_C(x, t_e)$ . Three types of information from the input document and two types of information from the proposed title are fed through convolutional networks to produce vectors, which are systematically

#### Francis-Landau et al. (2016)

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Takeaways

- CNN CNNs are a flexible way of extracting features analogous to bag of n-grams, can also encode positional information
- Neural CRF All kinds of NNs can be integrated into CRFs for structured inference. Can be applied to NER, other tagging, parsing, ...

5