Machine Learning Recap (linear classification)

Wei Xu (many slides from Greg Durrett)

Course website: https://cocoxu.github.io/CS7650 spring2024/





Trivia Time

Q: max/min of log prob.?

Trivia Time

-1

Q: max/min of log prob.?

Common Logs and Natural Logs

$$y = \log_b x \Leftrightarrow b^y = x$$

Common Log

$$y = \log_{10} x \Leftrightarrow 10^{y} = x$$
$$\log_{10} x = \log x$$

2 $y = \log x$ 0 1 2 3 4-1 (1, 0) -3 $y = \log x$ Natural Log

$$y = \log_e x \Leftrightarrow 10^e = x$$
$$\log_e x = \ln x$$





Coursework Plan

- Four programming projects (33%)
 - Implementation-oriented, PyTorch
 - 1.5~2 weeks per assignment
 - Fairly substantial implementation effort except PO
- Three written assignments (20%) + in-class midterm exam (15%)
 - Mostly math and theoretical problems related to ML / NLP
- Final project (25%) + in-class presentation of a recent research paper (2%)
- Participation (5%)

- Probability (e.g. conditional probabilities, conditional independence, Bayes Rule)
- Linear Algebra (e.g., multiplying vectors and matrices, matrix inversion)
- **Multivariable Calculus** (e.g., calculating gradients of functions with several variables)
- **Programming / Python experience** (medium-to-large scale project, **debug PyTorch** codes when there are no error messages)
- Prior exposure to machine learning

Course Requirements





Background Test

- Problem Set 0 (math background) is released, due Thursday Jan 11.
- Project 0 (programming logistic regression) is also released, due Friday Jan 19.
- Take CS 4641/7641 Machine Learning and (Math 2550 or Math 2551 or Math 2561 or Math 2401 or Math 24X1 or 2X51) before this class.
- If you want to understand the lectures better and complete homework with more ease, taking also CS 4644/7643 Deep Learning before this class.



NLP and ML Conferences

- ACL/NAACL/EMNLP
- ICRL/NeurIPS/ICML
- and ...

61st Annual Meeting of the **Association for Computational Linguistics**



Toronto, Canada | July 9-14

Explore the main research program by interacting with the charts []. The map shows countries of all authors, and the lines connect authors in different countries who are working together

)Oral

Finding



PAPERS by Subject*

Click a Subject to filter dashboard

NLP Applications	81	Singapore
Machine Learning for NLP	75	India Switzerland
Information Extraction	72	Israel
Dialogue and Interactive Systems	64	Japan
large Language Models	62	France Italy
Descurses and Evaluation	62	Hong Kong Australia
		Netherlands
Interpretability and Analysis of Models for NLP	45	Taiwan
Generation	42	Denmark
Question Answering	41	Russian Federation
Machine Translation	39	Iran (Islamic Republic of) Macau
Language Grounding to Vision, Robotics, and Beyond	32	Luxembourg Mexico
Summarization	31	Portugal Thailand
Computational Social Science and Cultural Analytics	26	Viet Nam Argentina
Theme: Reality Check	23	Austria Poland
Semantics: Sentence-level Semantics, etc.	21	Bangladesh Bulgaria
Sentiment Analysis, Stylistic Analysis, Argument Mining	19	Greece Saudi Arabia
Speech and Multimodality	17	United Arab Emirates Czech Republic
Multilingualism and Cross-Lingual NLP	15	Qatar
Information Retrieval and Text Mining	12	Zambia
Ethics and NLP	11	Malaysia
Syntax: Tagging, Chunking, and Parsing	11	Sweden
Linguistic Theories, Cognitive Modeling, Psycholinguistics	10	
Semantics: Lexical	9	
Discourse and Pragmatics	8	
Phonology, Morphology, and Word Segmentation	В	
Linguistic Diversity	1	

AUTHORS by Country

Inited Kind







- Linear classification fundamentals
- Naive Bayes, maximum likelihood estimation
- Three discriminative models: logistic regression, perceptron, SVM Different motivations but very similar update rules / inference!

This and next Lecture

Readings



Chapter 2 & 4 (+ J&M ch 5)

Chapter 2

Linear text classification

We begin with the problem of text classification: given a text document, assign it a discrete label $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, where \mathcal{Y} is the set of possible labels. Text classification has many applications, from spam filtering to the analysis of electronic health records. This chapter describes some of the most well known and effective algorithms for text classification, from a mathematical perspective that should help you understand what they do and why they work. Text classification is also a building block in more elaborate natural language processing tasks. For readers without a background in machine learning or statistics, the material in this chapter will take more time to digest than most of the subsequent chapters. But this investment will pay off as the mathematical principles behind these basic classification algorithms reappear in other contexts throughout the book.

2.1 The bag of words

To perform text classification, the first question is how to represent each document, or instance. A common approach is to use a column vector of word counts, e.g., x = $[0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 13, 0...]^+$, where x_j is the count of word j. The length of x is $V \triangleq |\mathcal{V}|$, where \mathcal{V} is the set of possible words in the vocabulary. In linear classification, the classification decision is based on a weighted sum of individual feature counts, such as word counts.

The object *x* is a vector, but it is often called a **bag of words**, because it includes only information about the count of each word, and not the order in which the words appear. With the bag of words representation, we are ignoring grammar, sentence boundaries, paragraphs — everything but the words. Yet the bag of words model is surprisingly effective for text classification. If you see the word whale in a document, is it fiction or nonfiction? What if you see the word *molybdenum*? For many labeling problems, individual words can be strong predictors.

CHAPTER 2. LINEAR TEXT CLASSIFICATION

To predict a label from a bag-of-words, we can assign a score to each word in the vocabulary, measuring the compatibility with the label. For example, for the label FICTION, we might assign a positive score to the word *whale*, and a negative score to the word *molybdenum*. These scores are called **weights**, and they are arranged in a column vector θ .

Suppose that you want a multiclass classifier, where $K \triangleq |\mathcal{Y}| > 2$. For example, you might want to classify news stories about sports, celebrities, music, and business. The goal is to predict a label \hat{y} , given the bag of words x, using the weights θ . For each label $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we compute a score $\Psi(x, y)$, which is a scalar measure of the compatibility between the bag-of-words x and the label y. In a linear bag-of-words classifier, this score is the vector inner product between the weights θ and the output of a feature function f(x, y),

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, y) = \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, y) = \sum_{j} \theta_{j} f_{j}(\boldsymbol{x}, y).$$
[2.1]

As the notation suggests, f is a function of two arguments, the word counts x and the label y, and it returns a vector output. For example, given arguments x and y, element jof this feature vector might be,

$$f_j(\boldsymbol{x}, y) = \begin{cases} x_{whale}, & \text{if } y = \text{FICTION} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
[2.2]

This function returns the count of the word *whale* if the label is FICTION, and it returns zero otherwise. The index *j* depends on the position of *whale* in the vocabulary, and of FICTION in the set of possible labels. The corresponding weight θ_i then scores the compatibility of the word *whale* with the label FICTION.¹ A positive score means that this word makes the label more likely.

The output of the feature function can be formalized as a vector:

$$f(x, y = 1) = [x; \underbrace{0; 0; \dots; 0}_{(K-1) \times V}]$$
[2.3]

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, y = 2) = [\underbrace{0; 0; \dots; 0}_{V}; \boldsymbol{x}; \underbrace{0; 0; \dots; 0}_{(K-2) \times V}]$$
[2.4]

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, y = K) = [\underbrace{0; 0; \dots; 0}_{(K-1) \times V}; \boldsymbol{x}],$$
[2.5]

where [0; 0; ...; 0] is a column vector of $(K - 1) \times V$ zeros, and the semicolon indicates $(K-1) \times V$

vertical concatenation. For each of the *K* possible labels, the feature function returns a

14

¹In practice, both f and θ may be implemented as a dictionary rather than vectors, so that it is not necessary to explicitly identify *j*. In such an implementation, the tuple (*whale*, FICTION) acts as a key in both dictionaries; the values in f are feature counts, and the values in θ are weights.

Classification

Classification: Sentiment Analysis

this movie was great! would watch again

that film was awful, I'll never watch again

- absence of certain words (great, awful)
- Steps to classification:
 - Turn examples like this into feature vectors
 - Pick a model / learning algorithm



Surface cues can basically tell you what's going on here: presence or

Train weights (i.e., model parameters) on data to get our classifier

Feature Representation

this movie was great! would watch again Positive

- Convert this example to a vector using bag-of-words features
- [contains the] [contains a] [contains was] [contains movie] [contains film] ... position 4 position 0 position 1 position 2 position 3 1 \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O}
- f(x) = [0]
 - Very large vector space (size of vocabulary), sparse features
 - Requires indexing the features



What are features?

Don't have to be just bag-of-words

 $f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{count}("\operatorname{boring}") \\ \operatorname{count}("\operatorname{not boring}") \\ \operatorname{length of document} \\ \operatorname{author of document} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$

More sophisticated feature mappings possible (tf-idf), as well as lots of other features: character n-grams, parts of speech, lemmas, ...

Tf-idf Weighting

Tf*idf Tf: term frequency

$$tf = \log_{10}(\text{count}(t, d) + 1)$$

Idf: inverse document frequency



word-doc co-occurrences

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	0	7	17
solider	2	80	62	89
fool	36	58	1	4
clown	20	15	2	3

Total number of docs in collection

number of docs that have word i



- Datapoint x with label $y \in \{0, 1\}$
- Embed datapoint in a feature space $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ but in this lecture f(x) and x are interchangeable
- Linear decision rule: $w^{\top} f(x) + b > 0$ $w^{\top}f(x) > 0$
- Can delete bias if we augment feature space: f(x) = [0.5, 1.6, 0.3][0.5, 1.6, 0.3, **1**]

Classification



Linear functions are powerful!

X

+++ - - - - + + + +



Linear functions are powerful!



"Kernel trick" does this for "free," but is too expensive to use in NLP applications, training is $O(n^2)$ instead of $O(n \cdot (\text{num feats}))$

http://ciml.info/dl/v0 99/ciml-v0 99-ch11.pdf https://www.quora.com/Why-is-kernelized-SVM-much-slower-than-linear-SVM

Naive Bayes

- Data point $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, label $y \in \{0, 1\}$
- Formulate a probabilistic model that places a distribution P(x, y)
- Compute P(y|x), predict $\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P(y|x)$ to classify
- $P(y|x) = \frac{P(y)P(x|y)}{P(x)}$ Bayes' Rule $P(x) \leftarrow constant: irrelevant$
 - for finding the max $\propto P(y)P(x|y)$
 - "Naive" assumption: $P(x_i|y)$ conditional independence

= P(y)

n

Naive Bayes

$$P(y|x) = \frac{P(y)P(x|y)}{P(x)} = P(y)$$

- Multiplying together lots of probabilities
- Probabilities are numbers between 0 and 1

Why the log?

n $\prod P(x_i|y)$ i=1

Q: What could go wrong here?

$$P(y|x) = \frac{P(y)P(x|y)}{P(x)} = P(y)\prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i|y)$$

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{y}P(y|x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{y}\log P(y|x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{y}\left[\log P(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\log P(x_i|y)\right]$$

Problem — floating point underflow

S	exponent	significand		X	log(x)
				0.0000001	-16.118095651
1	11 bits	52 bits		0.000001	-13.815511
	Largest =	1. 1 1 1 × 2		0.00001	-11.512925
	Smallest =	1.000 X 2 ⁻¹⁰²⁴		0.0001	-9.210340
			0.001	-6.907755	
vorking with probabilities in log space		0.01	-4.605170		
			0.1	-2.302585	

Solution: w

Why the log?

- Data points (x_j, y_j) provided (*j* indexes over examples)
- Find values of P(y), $P(x_i|y)$ that maximize data likelihood:

- Data points (x_j, y_j) provided (*j* indexes over examples)
- Find values of P(y), $P(x_i|y)$ that maximize data likelihood:

Equivalent to maximizing logarithm of data likelihood:

$$P(x_{ji}|y_j)$$

atures (i) ith feature of jth example

$$(y_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log P(x_{ji}|y_j)$$

- Imagine a coin flip which is heads with probability p

Easier: maximize *log* likelihood m $\sum \log P(y_j) = 3\log p + \log(1-p)$ j=1

m• Observe (H, H, H, T) and maximize likelihood: $P(y_j) = p^3(1-p)$ j=1

log likelihood

http://fooplot.com/

- Imagine a coin flip which is heads with probability p

- Easier: maximize *log* likelihood m $\sum \log P(y_j) = 3\log p + \log(1-p)$ j=1
- Maximum likelihood parameters for binomial/ multinomial = read counts off of the data + normalize

m• Observe (H, H, H, T) and maximize likelihood: $P(y_j) = p^3(1-p)$ j=1

http://fooplot.com

Naive Bayes: Learning

Learning = estimate the parameters of the model

- Prior probability P(+) and P(-): Fraction of + (or -) documents among all documents
- Word likelihood $P(word_i | +)$ and $P(word_i | -)$: • number of + (or -) documents word_i is observed, divide by the total number of documents of + (or -) documents

$P(y|x) \propto P(y) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i|y)$

This is for Bernoulli (binary features) document model!

http://socialmedia-class.org/slides AU2017/Shimodaira note07.pdf

Maximum Likelihood for Naive Bayes

this movie was great! would watch again I liked it well enough for an action flick I expected a great film and left happy brilliant directing and stunning visuals that film was awful, I'll never watch again I didn't really like that movie dry and a bit distasteful, it misses the mark great potential but ended up being a flop

Naive Bayes

- Bernoulli document model:
 - A document is represented by binary features
 - Feature value be 1 if the corresponding word is represent in the document and 0 if not
- Multinominal document model:
 - A document is represented by integer elements
 - Feature value is the frequency of that word in the document
 - for more details

See textbook and lecture note by Hiroshi Shimodaira linked below

http://socialmedia-class.org/slides AU2017/Shimodaira note07.pdf

Naive Bayes

would have:

Text Classification using Naive Bayes

Hiroshi Shimodaira*

10 February 2015

Text classification is the task of classifying documents by their content: that is, by the words of which they are comprised. Perhaps the best-known current text classification problem is email *spam filtering*: classifying email messages into spam and non-spam (ham).

1 Document models

Text classifiers often don't use any kind of deep representation about language: often a document is represented as a *bag of words*. (A bag is like a set that allows repeating elements.) This is an extremely simple representation: it only knows which words are included in the document (and how many times each word occurs), and throws away the word order!

Consider a document D, whose class is given by C. In the case of email spam filtering there are two classes C = S (spam) and C = H (ham). We classify D as the class which has the highest posterior probability P(C|D), which can be re-expressed using Bayes' Theorem:

$$P(C|D) = \frac{P(D|C)P(C)}{P(D)} \propto P(D|C)P(C).$$
 (1)

We shall look at two probabilistic models of documents, both of which represent documents as a bag of words, using the Naive Bayes assumption. Both models represent documents using feature vectors whose components correspond to word types. If we have a vocabulary V, containing |V| word types, then the feature vector dimension d = |V|.

- Bernoulli document model: a document is represented by a feature vector with binary elements taking value 1 if the corresponding word is present in the document and 0 if the word is not present.
- Multinomial document model: a document is represented by a feature vector with integer elements whose value is the frequency of that word in the document.

Example: Consider the vocabulary:

 $V = \{blue, red, dog, cat, biscuit, apple\}.$

In this case |V| = d = 6. Now consider the (short) document "the blue dog ate a blue biscuit". If d^{B} is the Bernoulli feature vector for this document, and \mathbf{d}^{M} is the multinomial feature vector, then we

*Heavily based on notes inherited from Steve Renals and Iain Murray.

2 The Bernoulli document model

As mentioned above, in the Bernoulli model a document is represented by a binary vector, which represents a point in the space of words. If we have a vocabulary V containing a set of |V| words, then the *t* th dimension of a document vector corresponds to word w_t in the vocabulary. Let \mathbf{b}_i be the feature vector for the *i* th document D_i ; then the *t* th element of \mathbf{b}_i , written b_{it} , is either 0 or 1 representing the absence or presence of word w_i in the *i* th document.

likelihoods $P(w_t|C)$:

The *parameters* of the likelihoods are the probabilities of each word given the document class $P(w_t | C)$; the model is also parameterised by the prior probabilities, P(C). We can learn (estimate) these parameters from a training set of documents labelled with class C = k. Let $n_k(w_i)$ be the number of documents of class C = k in which w_t is observed; and let N_k be the total number of documents of that class. Then we can estimate the parameters of the word likelihoods as,

 $\mathbf{d}^{B} = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)^{T}$ $\mathbf{d}^{M} = (2, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)^{T}$

To classify a document we use equation (1), which requires estimating the likelihoods of the document given the class, P(D|C) and the class prior probabilities P(C). To estimate the likelihood, P(D|C), we use the Naive Bayes assumption applied to whichever of the two document models we are using.

Let $P(w_t|C)$ be the probability of word w_t occurring in a document of class C; the probability of w_t not occurring in a document of this class is given by $(1 - P(w_t | C))$. If we make the naive Bayes assumption, that the probability of each word occurring in the document is independent of the occurrences of the other words, then we can write the document likelihood $P(D_i | C)$ in terms of the individual word

$$P(D_i|C) \sim P(\mathbf{b}_i|C) = \prod_{t=1}^{|V|} \left[b_{it} P(w_t|C) + (1 - b_{it})(1 - P(w_t|C)) \right].$$
(2)

This product goes over all words in the vocabulary. If word w_t is present, then $b_{it} = 1$ and the required probability is $P(w_t|C)$; if word w_t is not present, then $b_{it} = 0$ and the required probability is $1 - P(w_t|C)$. We can imagine this as a model for generating document feature vectors of class C, in which the document feature vector is modelled as a collection of |V| weighted coin tosses, the t th having a probability of success equal to $P(w_t|C)$.

$$\hat{P}(w_t \mid C = k) = \frac{n_k(w_t)}{N_k},$$
(3)

the relative frequency of documents of class C = k that contain word w_t . If there are N documents in total in the training set, then the prior probability of class C = k may be estimated as the relative frequency of documents of class C = k:

$$\hat{P}(C=k) = \frac{N_k}{N} \,. \tag{4}$$

Thus given a training set of documents (each labelled with a class), and a set of K classes, we can estimate a Bernoulli text classification model as follows:

http://socialmedia-class.org/slides AU2017/Shimodaira note07.pdf

Zero Probability Problem

- What if we have seen no training document with the word "fantastic" and classified in the topic positive? $\left[P(y|x) \propto P(y) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i|y)\right]$
- Laplace (add-1) Smoothing
 Word likelihood P(word_i| +) and P(word_i| -):
 frequency of word_i is observed **plus 1**

Naive Bayes: Summary

Model

$$P(x, y) = P(y) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | y)$$

Inference

• Alternatively: $\log P(y = +|x) - \log P(y = -|x) > 0$

• Learning: maximize P(x, y) by reading counts off the data

Problems with Naive Bayes

the film was *beautiful, stunning* cinematography and gorgeous sets, but boring

- $P(x_{\text{beautiful}}|+) = 0.1$ $P(x_{\text{stunning}}|+) = 0.1$ $P(x_{\text{gorgeous}}|+) = 0.1$ $P(x_{\text{boring}}|+) = 0.01$
- Naive Bayes is naive, but another problem is that it's generative: spends capacity modeling P(x,y), when what we care about is P(y|x)
- Discriminative models model P(y|x) directly (SVMs, most neural networks, ...)

 $P(x_{\text{beautiful}}|-) = 0.01$ $P(x_{\text{stunning}}|-) = 0.01$ $P(x_{\text{gorgeous}}|-) = 0.01$ $P(x_{\text{boring}}|-) = 0.1$

Correlated features compound: beautiful and gorgeous are not independent!

DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?

QA Time