

# Transformer

Wei Xu

(many slides from Greg Durrett)

# Readings

---

- ▶ “The Annotated Transformer” by Sasha Rush  
<https://nlp.seas.harvard.edu/2018/04/03/attention.html>
- ▶ “The Illustrated Transformer” by Jay Lamar  
<http://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/>

# Transformers

# Attention is All You Need

---

---

## Attention Is All You Need

---

**Ashish Vaswani\***  
Google Brain  
avaswani@google.com

**Noam Shazeer\***  
Google Brain  
noam@google.com

**Niki Parmar\***  
Google Research  
nikip@google.com

**Jakob Uszkoreit\***  
Google Research  
usz@google.com

**Llion Jones\***  
Google Research  
llion@google.com

**Aidan N. Gomez\* †**  
University of Toronto  
aidan@cs.toronto.edu

**Lukasz Kaiser\***  
Google Brain  
lukaszkaizer@google.com

**Illia Polosukhin\* †**  
illia.polosukhin@gmail.com



### Abstract

The dominant sequence transduction models are based on complex recurrent or convolutional neural networks that include an encoder and a decoder. The best performing models also connect the encoder and decoder through an attention mechanism. We propose a new simple network architecture, the Transformer, based solely on attention mechanisms, dispensing with recurrence and convolutions entirely. Experiments on two machine translation tasks show these models to be superior in quality while being more parallelizable and requiring significantly less time to train. Our model achieves 28.4 BLEU on the WMT 2014 English-to-German translation task, improving over the existing best results, including ensembles, by over 2 BLEU. On the WMT 2014 English-to-French translation task, our model establishes a new single-model state-of-the-art BLEU score of 41.8 after training for 3.5 days on eight GPUs, a small fraction of the training costs of the best models from the literature. We show that the Transformer generalizes well to other tasks by applying it successfully to English constituency parsing both with large and limited training data.

# Sentence Encoders

---

- ▶ LSTM abstraction: maps each vector in a sentence to a new, context-aware vector
- ▶ CNNs do something similar with filters
- ▶ Attention can give us a third way to do this



# Self-Attention

---

- ▶ Assume we're using GloVe/word2vec embeddings — what do we want our neural network to do?

*The ballerina is very excited that she will dance in the show.*

- ▶ Q: What words need to be contextualized here?

# Self-Attention

---

- ▶ Assume we're using GloVe — what do we want our neural network to do?

*The ballerina is very excited that **she** will dance in the **show**.*

A diagram illustrating self-attention weights for the word "she". A blue arc connects "she" to "ballerina", and another blue arc connects "she" to "excited". A red arc connects "show" to "she".

- ▶ What words need to be contextualized here?
  - ▶ Pronouns need to look at antecedents
  - ▶ Ambiguous words should look at context
  - ▶ Words should look at syntactic parents/children
- ▶ Problem: LSTMs and CNNs don't do this

# Self-Attention

---

- ▶ Want:

*The ballerina is very excited that **she** will dance in the **show**.*

A diagram illustrating long-range dependencies in a sentence. A blue arc connects the word "she" to "The ballerina", and another blue arc connects "she" to "excited". A red arc connects "show" to "will dance".

- ▶ LSTMs/CNNs: tend to look at local context

*The ballerina is very excited that **she** will dance in the **show**.*

A diagram illustrating local context dependencies. Multiple blue arcs connect "she" to nearby words: "is", "very", "excited", "that", "will", and "dance". Red arcs connect "show" to nearby words: "in", "the", and "show".

- ▶ To appropriately contextualize embeddings, we need to pass information over long distances dynamically for each word




# Self-Attention

- ▶ Each word forms a “query” which then computes attention over each word

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \text{softmax}(x_i^\top x_j) \quad \text{scalar}$$

$$x'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{i,j} x_j \quad \text{vector} = \text{sum of scalar} * \text{vector}$$



- ▶ Multiple “heads” analogous to different convolutional filters. Use parameters  $W_k$  and  $V_k$  to get different attention values + transform vectors

$$\alpha_{k,i,j} = \text{softmax}(x_i^\top W_k x_j) \quad x'_{k,i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{k,i,j} V_k x_j$$

# What can self-attention do?

---

*The ballerina is very excited that **she** will dance in the **show**.*




|   |     |   |   |     |     |   |     |     |   |   |   |
|---|-----|---|---|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|---|-----|---|---|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|---|---|---|

|   |     |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |   |     |   |
|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|-----|---|
| 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|-----|---|

- ▶ Attend nearby + to semantically related terms
- ▶ Why multiple heads? Softmaxes end up being peaked, single distribution cannot easily put weight on multiple things


# Visualization




Vaswani et al. (2017)

# Visualization

---



# Visualization



# Multi-Head Self Attention


---

- ▶ Multiple “heads” analogous to different convolutional filters
- ▶ Let  $X = [\text{sent len, embedding dim}]$  be the input sentence
- ▶ Query  $Q = W^Q X$ : these are like the **decoder hidden state** in attention
- ▶ Keys  $K = W^K X$ : these control what gets attended to, along with the query
- ▶ Values  $V = W^V X$ : these vectors get summed up to form the output

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$


← dim of keys

# Multi-Head Self Attention



Credit: Alammam, *The Illustrated Transformer*


# Multi-Head Self Attention



Credit: Alammam, *The Illustrated Transformer*



# Multi-Head Self Attention






$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$

Credit: Alammr, *The Illustrated Transformer*

# Multi-Head Self Attention

every row in  $X$  is a word in input sent



sent len x sent len (attn for each word to each other)

$$\text{softmax} \left( \frac{Q \times K^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) V$$

$$= Z$$

sent len x hidden dim

$Z$  is a weighted combination of  $V$  rows


Credit: Alammr, *The Illustrated Transformer*

# Multi-Head Self Attention


1) This is our input sentence\*

Thinking  
Machines

2) We embed each word\*




3) Split into 8 heads. We multiply  $X$  or  $R$  with weight matrices







4) Calculate attention using the resulting  $Q/K/V$  matrices



5) Concatenate the resulting  $Z$  matrices, then multiply with weight matrix  $W^O$  to produce the output of the layer




\* In all encoders other than #0, we don't need embedding. We start directly with the output of the encoder right below this one



$W^O$



$Z$




Credit: Alammr, *The Illustrated Transformer*

# Multi-Head Self Attention


1) This is our input sentence\*

Thinking  
Machines


2) We embed each word\*




3) Split into 8 heads.  
We multiply  $X$  or  $R$  with weight matrices



4) Calculate attention using the resulting  $Q/K/V$  matrices



5) Concatenate the resulting  $Z$  matrices, then multiply with weight matrix  $W^O$  to produce the output of the layer




Credit: Alammam, *The Illustrated Transformer*

# Properties of Self-Attention

| Layer Type                  | Complexity per Layer     | Sequential Operations | Maximum Path Length |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Self-Attention              | $O(n^2 \cdot d)$         | $O(1)$                | $O(1)$              |
| Recurrent                   | $O(n \cdot d^2)$         | $O(n)$                | $O(n)$              |
| Convolutional               | $O(k \cdot n \cdot d^2)$ | $O(1)$                | $O(\log_k(n))$      |
| Self-Attention (restricted) | $O(r \cdot n \cdot d)$   | $O(1)$                | $O(n/r)$            |

- ▶  $n$  = sentence length,  $d$  = hidden dim,  $k$  = kernel size,  $r$  = restricted neighborhood size
- ▶ **Quadratic complexity**, but  $O(1)$  sequential operations (not linear like in RNNs) and  $O(1)$  “path” for words to inform each other


# Transformers for MT: Complete Model



- ▶ Encoder and decoder are both transformers
- ▶ Decoder alternates attention over the output and attention over the input as well
- ▶ Decoder consumes the previous generated tokens but has *no recurrent state*

# Transformers

- ▶ Alternate multi-head self-attention layers and feedforward layers
- ▶ **Residual** connections let the model “skip” each layer — these are particularly useful for training deep networks



Encoder Layer 6


Encoder Layer 5

Encoder Layer 4

Encoder Layer 3

Encoder Layer 2

Encoder Layer 1




Vaswani et al. (2017)

# Residual Connections

---

- ▶ allow gradients to flow through a network directly, without passing through non-linear activation functions




He et al. (2015)




# Layer Normalization


- ▶ subtract mean, divide by variance



Batch Normalization



Layer Normalization



# Transformers: Position Sensitivity




---

*The ballerina is very excited that **she** will dance in the **show**.*



- ▶ If this is in a longer context, we want words to attend *locally*
- ▶ But transformers have *no notion of position* by default

# Transformers




- ▶ Augment word embedding with position embeddings, each dim is a sine/cosine wave of a different frequency. Closer points = higher dot products
- ▶ Works essentially as well as just encoding position as a one-hot vector

Vaswani et al. (2017)


# Transformers

---



- ▶ Adam optimizer with varied learning rate over the course of training
- ▶ Linearly increase for warmup, then decay proportionally to the inverse square root of the step number
- ▶ This part is very important!

# Transformers for MT: Complete Model



- ▶ Many other details to get it to work: residual connections, layer normalization, positional encoding, optimizer with learning rate schedule, label smoothing ....

# Transformers

| Model                           | BLEU        |              |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                                 | EN-DE       | EN-FR        |
| ByteNet [18]                    | 23.75       |              |
| Deep-Att + PosUnk [39]          |             | 39.2         |
| GNMT + RL [38]                  | 24.6        | 39.92        |
| ConvS2S [9]                     | 25.16       | 40.46        |
| MoE [32]                        | 26.03       | 40.56        |
| Deep-Att + PosUnk Ensemble [39] |             | 40.4         |
| GNMT + RL Ensemble [38]         | 26.30       | 41.16        |
| ConvS2S Ensemble [9]            | 26.36       | <b>41.29</b> |
| Transformer (base model)        | 27.3        | 38.1         |
| Transformer (big)               | <b>28.4</b> | <b>41.8</b>  |

- ▶ big = 6 layers, 1000 dim for each token, 16 heads, base = 6 layers + other params halved

# Useful Resources

---

## nn.Transformer:


```
>>> transformer_model = nn.Transformer(nhead=16, num_encoder_layers=12)
>>> src = torch.rand((10, 32, 512))
>>> tgt = torch.rand((20, 32, 512))
>>> out = transformer_model(src, tgt)
```

## nn.TransformerEncoder:

```
>>> encoder_layer = nn.TransformerEncoderLayer(d_model=512, nhead=8)
>>> transformer_encoder = nn.TransformerEncoder(encoder_layer, num_layers=6)
>>> src = torch.rand(10, 32, 512)
>>> out = transformer_encoder(src)
```

# Other Transformer Variations

- ▶ Multilayer transformer networks consist of interleaved self-attention and feedforward sublayers.
- ▶ Could ordering the sublayers in a different pattern lead to better performance?



s f s f s f s f s f s f s f s f s f s f s f s f

(a) Interleaved Transformer

s s s s s s s f s f s f s f s f s f s f s f f f f f f f

(b) Sandwich Transformer

Figure 1: A transformer model (a) is composed of interleaved self-attention (green) and feedforward (purple) sublayers. Our sandwich transformer (b), a reordering of the transformer sublayers, performs better on language modeling. Input flows from left to right.



# Other Transformer Variations

- ▶ Mixture of Expert (MoE) Transformer, e.g., used in massively multilingual MT





Figure 16: Illustration of a Transformer encoder with MoE layers inserted at a  $1:f_{\text{MoE}}$  frequency. Each MoE layer has  $E$  experts and a gating network responsible for dispatching tokens.

Eigen et al. (2013), Shazeer et al. (2017), NLLB (2022)

# Summary: Transformer Uses

- ▶ Supervised: transformer can replace LSTM as encoder, decoder, or both; such as in machine translation and natural language generation tasks.



- ▶ Encoder and decoder are both transformers
- ▶ Decoder consumes the previous generated token (and attends to input), but has *no recurrent state*

# Summary: Transformer Uses

- ▶ Unsupervised: transformers work better than LSTM for unsupervised pre-training of embeddings — predict word given context words
- ▶ BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers): pretraining transformer language models similar to ELMo (based on LSTM)
- ▶ Stronger than similar methods, SOTA on ~11 tasks (including NER — 92.8 F1)

