


Neural Networks

Wei Xu

(many slides from Greg Durrett)

Linear Transformation (math review)



Administrivia

- ▶ Reading: Eisenstein 2.6, 3.1-3.3, J+M 6, Goldberg 1-4
- ▶ Both PS1 and P1 are released.
- ▶ PyTorch Tutorial can be found on the course website!

Administrivia

- ▶ Next Monday: no in-person class

Guest Lecture by Young Jin Kim (Senior Principal Researcher at Microsoft AI)

Training Agentic Models: From Reasoning LLMs to Production SWE Agents

jointly with CS 7652 - 3:30-4:30pm - Zoom link will be on Canvas/Ed

This and Next Lectures

- ▶ Neural network basics
- ▶ Feedforward neural networks
- ▶ Applications
- ▶ Training of neural networks - backpropagation, more optimization
- ▶ Implementing neural networks
- ▶ Word embeddings

A Bit of History


- ▶ The **Mark I Perceptron** machine was the first implementation of the perceptron algorithm.
- ▶ Perceptron (Frank Rosenblatt, 1957)
- ▶ Artificial Neuron (McCulloch & Pitts, 1943)

McCulloch Pitts Neuron
(assuming no inhibitory inputs)

$$y = 1 \quad \text{if } \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \geq 0$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{if } \sum_{i=0}^n x_i < 0$$

Perceptron

$$y = 1 \quad \text{if } \sum_{i=0}^n w_i * x_i \geq 0$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{if } \sum_{i=0}^n w_i * x_i < 0$$





The IBM Automatic Sequence Controlled Calculator, called Mark I by Harvard University's staff. It was designed for image recognition: it had an array of 400 photocells, randomly connected to the "neurons". Weights were encoded in potentiometers, and weight updates during learning were performed by electric motors.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=71&v=cNxadbrN_al&feature=emb_logo

A Bit of History

- Adaline/Madeline - single and multi-layer “artificial neurons” (Widrow and Hoff, 1960)



A Bit of History

- First time back-propagation became popular (Rumelhart et al, 1986)

Learning representations by back-propagating errors

David E. Rumelhart*, Geoffrey E. Hinton†
& Ronald J. Williams*

* Institute for Cognitive Science, C-015, University of California,
San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

† Department of Computer Science, Carnegie-Mellon University,
Pittsburgh, Philadelphia 15213, USA

We describe a new learning procedure, back-propagation, for networks of neurone-like units. The procedure repeatedly adjusts the weights of the connections in the network so as to minimize a measure of the difference between the actual output vector of the net and the desired output vector. As a result of the weight adjustments, internal 'hidden' units which are not part of the input or output come to represent important features of the task domain, and the regularities in the task are captured by the interactions of these units. The ability to create useful new features distinguishes back-propagation from earlier, simpler methods such as the perceptron-convergence procedure¹.

There have been many attempts to design self-organizing neural networks. The aim is to find a powerful synaptic modification rule that will allow an arbitrarily connected neural network to develop an internal structure that is appropriate for a particular task domain. The task is specified by giving the desired state vector of the output units for each state vector of the input units. If the input units are directly connected to the output units it is relatively easy to find learning rules that iteratively adjust the relative strengths of the connections so as to progressively reduce the difference between the actual and desired output vectors². Learning becomes more interesting but

more difficult when we introduce hidden units whose actual or desired states are not specified by the task. (In perceptrons, there are 'feature analysers' between the input and output that are not true hidden units because their input connections are fixed by hand, so their states are completely determined by the input vector: they do not learn representations.) The learning procedure must decide under what circumstances the hidden units should be active in order to help achieve the desired input-output behaviour. This amounts to deciding what these units should represent. We demonstrate that a general purpose and relatively simple procedure is powerful enough to construct appropriate internal representations.

The simplest form of the learning procedure is for layered networks which have a layer of input units at the bottom; any number of intermediate layers; and a layer of output units at the top. Connections within a layer or from higher to lower layers are forbidden, but connections can skip intermediate layers. An input vector is presented to the network by setting the states of the input units. Then the states of the units in each layer are determined by applying equations (1) and (2) to the connections coming from lower layers. All units within a layer have their states set in parallel, but different layers have their states set sequentially, starting at the bottom and working upwards until the states of the output units are determined.

The total input, x_j , to unit j is a linear function of the outputs, y_i , of the units that are connected to j and of the weights, w_{ji} , on these connections

$$x_j = \sum_i y_i w_{ji} \quad (1)$$

Units can be given biases by introducing an extra input to each unit which always has a value of 1. The weight on this extra input is called the bias and is equivalent to a threshold of the opposite sign. It can be treated just like the other weights.


A unit has a real-valued output, y_j , which is a non-linear function of its total input

$$y_j = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x_j}} \quad (2)$$


† To whom correspondence should be addressed.

History: NN “dark ages”

- ▶ ConvNets: applied to MNIST by LeCun in 1990s





- ▶ LSTMs: Hochreiter and Schmidhuber (1997)



- ▶ Henderson (2003): neural shift-reduce parser, not SOTA

2008-2013: A glimmer of light...

- ▶ Collobert and Weston 2011: “NLP (almost) from scratch”
 - ▶ Feedforward neural nets induce features for sequential CRFs (“neural CRF”)
 - ▶ 2008 version was marred by bad experiments, claimed SOTA but wasn’t, 2011 version tied SOTA
- ▶ Krizhevsky et al. (2012): AlexNet for vision
- ▶ Socher 2011-2014: tree-structured RNNs working okay



2014: Stuff starts working

- ▶ Kim (2014) + Kalchbrenner et al. (2014): sentence classification / sentiment (CNNs work for NLP?)
- ▶ Sutskever et al. (2014) + Bahdanau et al. (2015) : seq2seq + attention for neural MT (LSTMs work for NLP?)
- ▶ Chen and Manning (2014) transition-based dependency parser (even feedforward networks work well for NLP?)
- ▶ 2015: explosion of neural nets for everything under the sun

Why didn't they work before?

- ▶ **Datasets too small:** for MT, not really better until you have 1M+ parallel sentences (and really need a lot more)
- ▶ **Optimization not well understood:** good initialization, per-feature scaling + momentum (AdaGrad / AdaDelta / Adam) work best out-of-the-box
 - ▶ **Regularization:** dropout (2012) is pretty helpful
 - ▶ **Computers not big enough:** can't run for enough iterations
- ▶ **Inputs:** need word representations to have the right continuous semantics
- ▶ **Libraries:** TensorFlow (first released in Nov 2015), PyTorch (Sep 2016)

GPU server



Neural Net Basics

Neural Networks: motivation


- ▶ Linear classification: $\operatorname{argmax}_y w^\top f(x, y)$
- ▶ How can we do nonlinear classification? Kernels are too slow...
- ▶ Want to learn intermediate conjunctive features of the input

*the movie was **not** all that **good***

$\mathbb{I}[\text{contains } \textit{not} \ \& \ \text{contains } \textit{good}]$


Neural Networks: XOR

- ▶ Let's see how we can use neural nets to learn a simple nonlinear function
- ▶ Inputs x_1, x_2
(generally $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$)
- ▶ Output y
(generally $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$)




x_1	x_2	$y = x_1 \text{ XOR } x_2$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

Neural Networks: XOR



$$y = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2$$




$$y = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 \tanh(x_1 + x_2)$$



x_1	x_2	x_1 XOR x_2
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0


(looks like action potential in neuron)




Hyperbolic tangent:

$$\tanh x = \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh x} = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}} = \frac{e^{2x} - 1}{e^{2x} + 1}$$

Neural Networks: XOR



$$y = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2$$




$$y = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 \tanh(x_1 + x_2)$$



$$y = -x_1 - x_2 + 2 \tanh(x_1 + x_2)$$

x_1	x_2	x_1 XOR x_2
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0


(looks like action potential in neuron)



Hyperbolic tangent:

$$\tanh x = \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh x} = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}} = \frac{e^{2x} - 1}{e^{2x} + 1}$$

Neural Networks: XOR



x_1	x_2	x_1	XOR	x_2
0	0	0	0	
0	1	1	1	
1	0	1	1	
1	1	0	0	


$$y = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2$$

✗


$$y = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 \tanh(x_1 + x_2)$$

✓


$$y = -x_1 - x_2 + 2 \tanh(x_1 + x_2)$$



Neural Networks: XOR




$$y = -2x_1 - x_2 + 2 \tanh(x_1 + x_2)$$




*the movie was **not** all that **good***


Activation Functions




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Neural Networks


Linear model: $y = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b$

$$y = g(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)$$


$$\mathbf{y} = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$$

Nonlinear
transformation Warp
space Shift

tanh




Linear Transformation (math review)




Neural Networks


Linear classifier




Neural network



...possible because
we transformed the
space!



Deep Neural Networks



$$y = g(Wx + b)$$

$$z = g(Vy + c)$$

$$z = g(V \underbrace{g(Wx + b)}_{\text{output of first layer}} + c)$$


output of first layer

“Feedforward” computation (not recurrent)

Check: what happens if no nonlinearity?
More powerful than basic linear models?

$$z = V(Wx + b) + c$$

Deep Neural Networks



Taken from <http://colah.github.io/posts/2014-03-NN-Manifolds-Topology/>

Feedforward Networks, Backpropagation

Recap: Multiclass Logistic Regression

$$P_w(y|x) = \frac{\exp(w^\top f(x, y))}{\sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp(w^\top f(x, y'))}$$

sum over output
space to normalize

*too many drug trials,
too few patients*

Health: +2.2

Sports: +3.1

Science: -0.6

$w^\top f(x, y)$

probabilities
must be ≥ 0

6.05
22.2
0.55

unnormalized
probabilities

normalize

probabilities
must sum to 1

0.21
0.77
0.02

probabilities

$\log(0.21) = -1.56$

compare

$\mathcal{L}(x_j, y_j^*) = \log P(y_j^*|x_j)$

1.00
0.00
0.00

correct (gold)
probabilities

Logistic Regression with NNs

$$P(y|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(w^\top f(\mathbf{x}, y))}{\sum_{y'} \exp(w^\top f(\mathbf{x}, y'))}$$

- ▶ Single scalar probability

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}([w^\top f(\mathbf{x}, y)]_{y \in \mathcal{Y}})$$


- ▶ Compute scores for all possible labels at once (returns vector)

$$\text{softmax}(p)_i = \frac{\exp(p_i)}{\sum_{i'} \exp(p_{i'})}$$

- ▶ softmax: exps and normalizes a given vector

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(W f(\mathbf{x}))$$


- ▶ Weight vector per class;
W is [num classes x num feats]

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(W g(V f(\mathbf{x})))$$

- ▶ Now one hidden layer

Neural Networks for Classification

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(Wg(Vf(\mathbf{x})))$$



We can think of a neural network classifier with one hidden layer as building a vector $\mathbf{z}$ which is a hidden layer representation (i.e. latent features) of the input, and then running standard logistic regression on the features that the network develops in $\mathbf{z}$.

Training Neural Networks


$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(W\mathbf{z}) \quad \mathbf{z} = g(Vf(\mathbf{x}))$$

- ▶ Maximize log likelihood of training data

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*) = \log P(y = i^* | \mathbf{x}) = \log (\text{softmax}(W\mathbf{z}) \cdot e_{i^*})$$

- ▶ i^* : index of the gold label
- ▶ e_i : 1 in the i th row, zero elsewhere. Dot by this = select i th index

one-hot vector



Training Neural Networks

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(W\mathbf{z}) \quad \mathbf{z} = g(Vf(\mathbf{x}))$$

- ▶ Maximize log likelihood of training data

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*) = \log P(y = i^* | \mathbf{x}) = \log (\text{softmax}(W\mathbf{z}) \cdot e_{i^*})$$

- ▶ i^* : index of the gold label
- ▶ e_i : 1 in the i th row, zero elsewhere. Dot by this = select i th index

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*) = W\mathbf{z} \cdot e_{i^*} - \log \sum_j \exp(W\mathbf{z}) \cdot e_j$$

Training Neural Networks

- ▶ Maximize log likelihood of training data

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*) = \log P(y = i^* | \mathbf{x}) = \log (\text{softmax}(W\mathbf{z}) \cdot e_{i^*})$$

- ▶ i^* : index of the gold label
- ▶ e_i : 1 in the i th row, zero elsewhere. Dot by this = select i th index

*too many drug trials,
too few patients*

Health: +2.2

Sports: +3.1

Science: -0.6

$W\mathbf{z}$

exp
→

probabilities
must be ≥ 0

6.05
22.2
0.55

unnormalized
probabilities

normalize
→

probabilities
must sum to 1

0.21
0.77
0.02

$\text{softmax}(W\mathbf{z})$

one-hot
vector

1
0
0

e_{i^*}

$L = \log(0.21)$

Computing Gradients

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*) = W\mathbf{z} \cdot e_{i^*} - \log \sum_j \exp(W\mathbf{z}) \cdot e_j$$

num_classes x d
matrix

- Gradient with respect to W

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ij}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*) = \begin{cases} (1 - P(y = i|\mathbf{x}))\mathbf{z}_j & \text{if } i = i^* \\ -P(y = i|\mathbf{x})\mathbf{z}_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

index of output space $\mathcal{Y}$

index of gold label

index of vector $\mathbf{z}$


W

i	
	$(1 - P(y = i \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{z}_j$
	$-P(y = i \mathbf{x})\mathbf{z}_j$

- Looks like logistic regression with $\mathbf{z}$ as the features!

Neural Networks for Classification


$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(Wg(Vf(\mathbf{x})))$$



- ▶ Gradient w.r.t. W : looks like logistic regression with $\mathbf{z}$ as the features!

Neural Networks for Classification

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(Wg(Vf(\mathbf{x})))$$




$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{z}(e_{i^*} - P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{z} \cdot err(\text{root})$$

Backpropagation: Picture

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(Wg(Vf(\mathbf{x})))$$

$$err(\text{root}) = e_{i^*} - P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$$




- Can forget everything after $\mathbf{z}$, treat it as the output and keep backpropping

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = err(\mathbf{z}) = W^\top err(\text{root})$$

Backpropagation: Picture

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(Wg(Vf(\mathbf{x})))$$

$$err(\text{root}) = e_{i^*} - P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$$



$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial V_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial V_{ij}} = err(\mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial V_{ij}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = err(\mathbf{z}) = W^\top err(\text{root})$$

Computing Gradients: Backpropagation

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*) = W\mathbf{z} \cdot e_{i^*} - \log \sum_j \exp(W\mathbf{z}) \cdot e_j \quad \mathbf{z} = g(Vf(\mathbf{x}))$$

Activations at hidden layer

- Gradient with respect to V : apply the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial V_{ij}} = \boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial \mathbf{z}}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial V_{ij}}$$

[some math...]

$$\begin{aligned} err(\text{root}) &= e_{i^*} - P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{dim} &= \text{num_classes} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = err(\mathbf{z}) = W^\top err(\text{root})}$$


dim = d

Computing Gradients: Backpropagation

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*) = W\mathbf{z} \cdot e_{i^*} - \log \sum_j \exp(W\mathbf{z}) \cdot e_j \quad \mathbf{z} = g(Vf(\mathbf{x}))$$

Activations at hidden layer

- ▶ Gradient with respect to V : apply the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial V_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \boxed{\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial V_{ij}}} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial V_{ij}} = \boxed{\frac{\partial g(\mathbf{a})}{\partial \mathbf{a}}} \boxed{\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial V_{ij}}} \quad \mathbf{a} = Vf(\mathbf{x})$$


- ▶ First term: gradient of nonlinear activation function at $\mathbf{a}$ (depends on current value)
- ▶ Second term: gradient of linear function
- ▶ Straightforward computation once we have $err(\mathbf{z})$

Backpropagation

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(Wg(Vf(\mathbf{x})))$$

- ▶ Step 1: compute $err(\text{root}) = e_{i^*} - P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$ (vector)
- ▶ Step 2: compute derivatives of W using $err(\text{root})$ (matrix)
- ▶ Step 3: compute $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*)}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = err(\mathbf{z}) = W^\top err(\text{root})$ (vector)
- ▶ Step 4: compute derivatives of V using $err(\mathbf{z})$ (matrix)
- ▶ Step 5+: continue backpropagation (if there are more hidden layers ...)

Backpropagation: Takeaways

- ▶ Gradients of output weights W are easy to compute — looks like logistic regression with hidden layer $\mathbf{z}$ as feature vector
- ▶ Can compute derivative of loss with respect to $\mathbf{z}$ to form an “error signal” for backpropagation
- ▶ Easy to update parameters based on “error signal” from next layer, keep pushing error signal back as backpropagation
- ▶ Need to remember the values from the forward computation

Applications

POS Tagging

VBD VB
VBN **VBZ** VBP VBZ
NNP NNS **NN** **NNS** **CD** **NN**
Fed raises interest rates 0.5 percent

VBD VB
VBN VBZ **VBP** VBZ
NNP **NNS** **NN** **NNS** **CD** **NN**
Fed raises interest rates 0.5 percent

I hereby
increase interest
rates 0.5%



I'm 0.5% interested
in the Fed's raises!



- ▶ Other paths are also plausible but even more semantically weird...
- ▶ What governs the correct choice? Word + context
 - ▶ Word identity: most words have ≤ 2 tags, many have one (*percent*, *the*)
 - ▶ Context: nouns start sentences, nouns follow verbs, etc.

What is this good for?

- ▶ Text-to-speech: *record, lead*
- ▶ Preprocessing step for syntactic parsers
- ▶ Domain-independent disambiguation for other tasks
- ▶ (Very) shallow information extraction
 - ▶ Identifying Subject-Verb-Object, action nouns, ...


NLP with Feedforward Networks

- Part-of-speech tagging with FFNNs


??

*Fed raises **interest** rates in order to ...*

- Word embeddings for each word form input
- ~1000 features here — smaller feature vector than in sparse models, but every feature fires on every example
- Weight matrix learns position-dependent processing of the words



NLP with Feedforward Networks



- ▶ Hidden layer mixes these different signals and learns feature conjunctions

NLP with Feedforward Networks

- ▶ Multilingual POS tagging results:

Model	Acc.	Wts.	MB	Ops.
Gillick et al. (2016)	95.06	900k	-	6.63m
Small FF	94.76	241k	0.6	0.27m
+Clusters	95.56	261k	1.0	0.31m
$\frac{1}{2}$ Dim.	95.39	143k	0.7	0.18m

- ▶ Gillick used LSTMs; this is smaller, faster, and better

Sentiment Analysis


this movie was great! would watch again Positive

that film was awful, I'll never watch again Negative

- ▶ Surface cues can basically tell you what's going on here: presence or absence of certain words (*great, awful*)
- ▶ Steps to classification:
 - ▶ Turn examples like this into feature vectors
 - ▶ Pick a model / learning algorithm
 - ▶ Train weights (i.e., model parameters) on data to get our classifier

Sentiment Analysis

- Deep Averaging Networks: feedforward neural network on average of word embeddings from input




Sentiment Analysis

		Model	RT	SST fine	SST bin	IMDB	Time (s)		
Bag-of-words		DAN-ROOT	—	46.9	85.7	—	31	Iyyer et al. (2015)	
		DAN-RAND	77.3	45.4	83.2	88.8	136		
		DAN	80.3	47.7	86.3	89.4	136		
	{	NBOW-RAND	76.2	42.3	81.4	88.9	91	Wang and Manning (2012)	
		NBOW	79.0	43.6	83.6	89.0	91		
		BiNB	—	41.9	83.1	—	—		
		NBSVM-bi	79.4	—	—	91.2	—		
	Tree RNNs / CNNS / LSTMS	{	RecNN*	77.7	43.2	82.4	—	—	Kim (2014)
			RecNTN*	—	45.7	85.4	—	—	
			DRecNN	—	49.8	86.6	—	431	
TreeLSTM			—	50.6	86.9	—	—		
DCNN*			—	48.5	86.9	89.4	—		
PVEC*			—	48.7	87.8	92.6	—		
CNN-MC			81.1	47.4	88.1	—	2,452		
	WRRBM*	—	—	—	89.2	—			

Coreference Resolution

- ▶ Feedforward networks identify coreference arcs




- ▶ Mention features include: type of mention (pronoun, nominal, proper), the mention's position in the article, length of the mention in words ...

Clark and Manning (2015, 2016), Wiseman et al. (2015)

Coreference Resolution

- Feedforward networks identify coreference arcs



Clark and Manning (2015, 2016), Wiseman et al. (2015)

Coreference Resolution

Input Layer. For each mention, the model extracts various words and groups of words that are fed into the neural network. Each word is represented by a vector $w_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_w}$. Each group of words is represented by the average of the vectors of each word in the group. For each mention and pair of mentions, a small number of binary features and distance features are also extracted. Distances and mention lengths are binned into one of the buckets [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5-7, 8-15, 16-31, 32-63, 64+] and then encoded in a one-hot vector in addition to being included as continuous features. The full set of features is as follows:

Embedding Features: Word embeddings of the head word, dependency parent, first word, last word, two preceding words, and two following words of the mention. Averaged word embeddings of the five preceding words, five following

words, all words in the mention, all words in the mention's sentence, and all words in the mention's document.

Additional Mention Features: The type of the mention (pronoun, nominal, proper, or list), the mention's position (index of the mention divided by the number of mentions in the document), whether the mentions is contained in another mention, and the length of the mention in words.

Document Genre: The genre of the mention's document (broadcast news, newswire, web data, etc.).

Distance Features: The distance between the mentions in sentences, the distance between the mentions in intervening mentions, and whether the mentions overlap.

Speaker Features: Whether the mentions have the same speaker and whether one mention is the other mention's speaker as determined by string matching rules from Raghunathan et al. (2010).

String Matching Features: Head match, exact string match, and partial string match.

The vectors for all of these features are concatenated to produce an I -dimensional vector h_0 , the input to the neural network. If $a = \text{NA}$, the fea-

Clark and Manning (2015, 2016), Wiseman et al. (2015)


Training Tips

Training Basics

- ▶ Basic formula: compute gradients on batch, use first-order optimization method (SGD, Adagrad, etc.)
- ▶ How to initialize? How to regularize? What optimizer to use?
- ▶ This lecture: some practical tricks. Take deep learning or optimization courses to understand this further

How does initialization affect learning?


$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(W g(V f(\mathbf{x})))$$



- ▶ How do we initialize V and W ? What consequences does this have?
- ▶ *Non-convex* problem, so initialization matters!

How does initialization affect learning?


- ▶ Nonlinear model...how does this affect things?



- ▶ **Tanh**: If cell activations are too large in absolute value, gradients are small
 - ▶ **ReLU**: larger dynamic range (all positive numbers), but can produce big values, and can break down if everything is too negative (“dead” ReLU)
- Krizhevsky et al. (2012)

Initialization

- 1) Can't use zeroes for parameters to produce hidden layers: all values in that hidden layer are always the same (0 if tanh) and have same gradients (0 if tanh), and can't break symmetry (or never change)
- 2) Initialize too large and cells are saturated
 - ▶ Can do random uniform / normal initialization with appropriate scale
 - ▶ Xavier initializer: $U \left[-\sqrt{\frac{6}{\text{fan-in} + \text{fan-out}}}, +\sqrt{\frac{6}{\text{fan-in} + \text{fan-out}}} \right]$
 - ▶ Want variance of inputs and gradients for each layer to be the same



Mean & Standard Deviation

$$\mu = \frac{a+b}{2} \text{ and } \sigma = \frac{b-a}{\sqrt{12}}$$


Glorot & Bengio (2010)

<https://mmuratarat.github.io/2019-02-25/xavier-glorot-he-weight-init>


Maverick Meerkat's answer - <https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/27112/danger-of-setting-all-initial-weights-to-zero-in-backpropagation>

Regularization: Dropout

- ▶ Probabilistically zero out parts of the network during training to prevent overfitting, use whole network at test time
- ▶ Form of stochastic regularization
- ▶ Similar to benefits of ensembling: network needs to be robust to missing signals, so it has redundancy
- ▶ One line in Pytorch/Tensorflow



(a) Standard Neural Net



(b) After applying dropout.

Optimization (Recap: Logistic Regression)

- ▶ Gradient descent
 - ▶ **Batch update** for logistic regression
 - ▶ Each update is based on a computation over the entire dataset

Multiclass Logistic Regression

$$P_w(y|x) = \frac{\exp(w^\top f(x, y))}{\sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp(w^\top f(x, y'))}$$


sum over output
space to normalize

i.e. minimize negative log likelihood
or cross-entropy loss

▶ Training: maximize $\mathcal{L}(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^m \log P(y_j^* | x_j)$

index of data points (j)


$$= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(w^\top f(x_j, y_j^*) - \log \sum_y \exp(w^\top f(x_j, y)) \right)$$




Optimization

- ▶ **Stochastic** gradient descent $w \leftarrow w - \alpha g, \quad g = \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \mathcal{L}$
- ▶ Approx. gradient is computed on a single instance
- ▶ What if the loss function has a local minima or saddle point?

Convex





Non-Convex



Optimization

- ▶ **Stochastic** gradient descent $w \leftarrow w - \alpha g, \quad g = \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \mathcal{L}$
- ▶ Approx. gradient is computed on a single instance
- ▶ “First-order” technique: only relies on having gradient



Momentum

- ▶ Gradients come from a single instance or a mini-batch can be noisy
- ▶ Use “velocity” to accumulates the gradients from the past steps

Standard SGD

```
while True:
    dx = compute_gradient(x)
    x += learning_rate * dx
```

SGD with Momentum

```
vx = 0
while True:
    dx = compute_gradient(x)
    vx = rho * vx + dx
    x += learning_rate * vx
```


Polyak (1964), Sutskever et al. (2013)

Image credit: Stanford CS231N

AdaGrad

- ▶ Optimized for problems with sparse features
- ▶ Per-parameter learning rate: smaller updates are made to parameters that get updated frequently

```
grad_squared = 0
while True:
    dx = compute_gradient(x)
    grad_squared += dx * dx
    x -= learning_rate * dx / (np.sqrt(grad_squared) + 1e-7)
```



Duchi et al. (2011)

Image credit: Stanford CS231N

AdaGrad

- ▶ Optimized for problems with sparse features
- ▶ Per-parameter learning rate: smaller updates are made to parameters that get updated frequently




$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon + \sum_{\tau=1}^t g_{\tau,i}^2}} g_{t,i}$$

(smoothed) sum of squared gradients from all updates


- ▶ Generally more robust than SGD, requires less tuning of learning rate

Optimizer

- ▶ Adam (Kingma and Ba, ICLR 2015): very widely used. Adaptive step size + momentum
- ▶ Wilson et al. NIPS 2017: adaptive methods can actually perform badly at test time (Adam is in pink, SGD in black)
- ▶ One more trick: **gradient clipping** (set a max value for your gradients)



(e) Generative Parsing (Training Set)



(f) Generative Parsing (Development Set)

Computation Graphs

- ▶ Computing gradients is hard!
- ▶ Automatic differentiation: instrument code to keep track of derivatives

$$y = x * x \xrightarrow{\text{codegen}} (y, dy) = (x * x, 2 * x * dx)$$

- ▶ Computation is now something we need to reason about symbolically
- ▶ Use a library like PyTorch or TensorFlow. This class: PyTorch

Computation Graphs in Pytorch

- ▶ Define forward pass for $P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(Wg(Vf(\mathbf{x})))$

```
class FFNN(nn.Module):  
    def __init__(self, inp, hid, out):  
        super(FFNN, self).__init__()  
        self.V = nn.Linear(inp, hid)  
        self.g = nn.Tanh()  
        self.W = nn.Linear(hid, out)  
        self.softmax = nn.Softmax(dim=0)  
  
    def forward(self, x):  
        return self.softmax(self.W(self.g(self.V(x))))
```

Computation Graphs in Pytorch

$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{softmax}(Wg(Vf(\mathbf{x})))$ e_{i^*} : one-hot vector of the label (e.g., $[0, 1, 0]$)

```
ffnn = FFNN(in_d, hi_d, out_d)
optimizer = optim.Adam(ffnn.parameters(), lr=0.01)
def make_update(input, gold_label):
    ffnn.zero_grad() # clear gradient variables
    probs = ffnn.forward(input)
    loss = torch.neg(torch.log(probs)).dot(gold_label)
    loss.backward()
    optimizer.step()
```

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, i^*) = \log P(y = i^* | \mathbf{x}) = \log (\text{softmax}(W\mathbf{z}) \cdot e_{i^*})$$

Training a Model

Define a computation graph

For each epoch:

For each batch of data:

Compute loss on batch

Autograd to compute gradients and take step

Check performance on dev set periodically to identify overfitting

Batching (aka, mini-batch)


- ▶ Batching data gives speedups due to more efficient matrix operations
- ▶ Need to make the computation graph process a batch at the same time

```
# input is [batch_size, num_feats]
# gold_label is [batch_size, num_classes]
def make_update(input, gold_label)
    ...
    probs = ffn.forward(input) # [batch_size, num_classes]
    loss = torch.sum(torch.neg(torch.log(probs)).dot(gold_label))
    ...
```

- ▶ Batch sizes from 1-100 often work well

Four Elements of NNs

- ▶ Model: feedforward, RNNs, CNNs can be defined in a uniform framework
- ▶ Objective: many loss functions look similar, just changes the last layer of the neural network
- ▶ Inference: define the network, your library of choice takes care of it (mostly...)
- ▶ Training: lots of choices for optimization/hyperparameters



Next Up

- ▶ Word representations
- ▶ word2vec/GloVe